

RULES RELATING TO JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Rule 601

CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

[**History:** Superseded by Rule 601A effective June 1, 1995.]

Rule 601A

CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Grateful recognition is due the Commission on Judicial Qualifications for its assistance in the extensive analysis and study that preceded the adoption of Rule 601A. The Commission members were: Chairman, Hon. J. Patrick Brazil, Court of Appeals Judge, Topeka, Kansas; Vice-Chairman, Mikel L. Stout, Attorney, Wichita, Kansas; Secretary, Carol G. Green, Appellate Court Clerk, Topeka, Kansas; Charles S. Arthur, Attorney, Manhattan, Kansas; Ray Call, The Emporia Gazette, Emporia, Kansas; Hon. Kathryn Carter, District Magistrate Judge, Concordia, Kansas; Dr. Nancy Bramley Hiebert, Lawrence, Kansas; Hon. James J. Noone, District Court Judge, Retired, Wichita, Kansas; Hon. James W. Paddock, Retired, District Judge, Lawrence, Kansas; David J. Waxse, Attorney, Overland Park, Kansas; Former Members participating in this Code revision: Kenneth C. Bronson, Stauffer Communications, Inc., Topeka, Kansas; Hon. Steven P. Flood, District Judge, Hays, Kansas; John J. Gardner, Attorney, Olathe, Kansas; and Georgia Neese Gray, Topeka, Kansas.

The Model Code of Judicial Conduct adopted by the House of Delegates of the American Bar Association on August 7, 1990, as hereinafter modified, is adopted as a rule of this Court. The Model Code of Judicial Conduct as hereinafter set forth shall be effective as of June 1, 1995. All alleged violations committed before June 1, 1995, shall be subject to Rule 601 (1999 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 443).

PREAMBLE

Our legal system is based on the principle that an independent, fair and competent judiciary will interpret and apply the laws that govern us. The role of the judiciary is central to American concepts of justice and the rule of law. Intrinsic to all sections of this Code are the precepts that judges, individually and collectively, must respect and honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to enhance and maintain confidence in our legal system. The judge is an arbiter of facts and law for the resolution of disputes and a highly visible symbol of government under the rule of law.

The Code of Judicial Conduct is intended to establish standards for ethical conduct of judges. It consists of broad statements called Canons, specific rules set forth in Sections under each Canon, a Terminology Section, an Application Section and Commentary. The text of the Canons and the Sections, including the Terminology and Application Sections, is authoritative. The Commentary, by explanation and example, provides guidance with respect to the purpose and meaning of the Canons and Sections. The Commentary is not intended as a statement of additional rules. When the text uses "shall" or "shall not," it is intended to impose binding obligations the violation of which can result in disciplinary action. When "should" or "should not" is used, the text is hortatory and a statement of what is or is not appropriate conduct but not a binding rule under which a judge may be disciplined. When "may" is used, it denotes permissible discretion or, depending on the context, it refers to action that is not covered by specific proscriptions.

The Canons and Sections are rules of reason. They should be applied consistent with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules and decisional law and in the context of all relevant circumstances. The Code is to be construed so as not to impinge on the essential independence of judges in making judicial decisions.

The Code is designed to provide guidance to judges and candidates* for judicial office and to provide a structure for regulating conduct through disciplinary agencies. It is not designed or intended as a basis for civil liability or criminal prosecution. Furthermore, the purpose of the Code would be subverted if the Code were invoked by lawyers for mere tactical advantage in a proceeding.

The text of the Canons and Sections is intended to govern conduct of judges and to be binding upon them. It is not intended, however, that every transgression will result in disciplinary action. Whether disciplinary action is appropriate, and the degree of discipline to be imposed, should be determined through a reasonable and reasoned application of the text and should depend on such factors as the seriousness of the transgression, whether there is a pattern of improper activity, and the effect of the improper activity on others or on the judicial system. See ABA Standards Relating to Judicial Discipline and Disability Retirement.

The Code of Judicial Conduct is not intended as an exhaustive guide for the conduct of judges. They should also be governed in their judicial and personal conduct by general ethical standards. The Code is intended, however, to state basic standards which should govern the conduct of all judges and to provide guidance to assist judges in establishing and maintaining high standards of judicial and personal conduct.

TERMINOLOGY

Terms explained below are noted with an asterisk () in the Sections where they appear.*

“Appropriate authority” denotes the authority with responsibility for initiation of disciplinary process with respect to the violation to be reported. See Sections 3D(1) and 3D(2).

“Candidate.” A candidate is a person seeking selection for or retention in judicial office by election or appointment. A person becomes a candidate for judicial office as soon as he or she makes a public announcement of candidacy, declares or files as a candidate with the election authority or commission which either appoints or recommends to the appointment authority, or authorizes solicitation or acceptance of contributions or support. The term “candidate” has the same meaning when applied to a judge seeking election or appointment to nonjudicial office. See Preamble and Sections 5A, 5B, 5C and 5E.

“Court personnel” does not include the lawyers in a proceeding before a judge. See Section 3B(7) and 3B(9).

“De minimis” denotes an insignificant interest that could not raise reasonable question as to a judge’s impartiality. See Section 3E(1).

“Economic interest” denotes ownership of a more than de minimis legal or equitable interest, or a relationship as officer, director, advisor or other active participant in the affairs of a party, except that:

(i) service by a judge as an officer, director, advisor or other active participant in an educational, religious, charitable, fraternal or civic organization, or service by a judge’s spouse, parent or child as an officer, director, advisor or other active participant in any such organization does not create an economic interest in securities held by that organization;

(ii) a deposit in a financial institution, the proprietary interest of a policy holder in a mutual insurance company, a deposit in a mutual savings association, membership in a credit union, or a similar proprietary interest, is not an economic interest in the organization unless a proceeding pending or impending before the judge could substantially affect the value of the interest;

(iii) ownership of government securities is not an economic interest in the issuer unless a proceeding pending or impending before the judge could substantially affect the value of the securities. See Sections 3E(1) and 3E(2).

“Fiduciary” includes such relationships as executor, administrator, trustee, and guardian. See Sections 3E(2) and 4E.

“Knowingly,” “knowledge,” “known” or “knows” denotes actual knowledge of the fact in question. A person’s knowledge may be inferred from circumstances. See Sections 3D, 3E(1), and 5A(3).

“Law” denotes court rules as well as statutes, constitutional provisions and decisional law. See Sections 2A, 3A, 3B(2), 3B(7), 4B, 4C, 4D(5), 4F, 4I, 5A(2), 5B(2), 5C(1), 5C(3) and 5D.

“Member of the candidate’s family” denotes a spouse, child, grandchild, parent, grandparent or other relative or person with whom the candidate maintains a close familial relationship. See Section 5A(3)(a).

“Member of the judge’s family” denotes a spouse, child, grandchild, parent, grandparent, or other relative or person with whom the judge maintains a close familial relationship. See Sections 4D(3), 4E and 4G.

“Member of the judge’s family residing in the judge’s household” denotes any relative of a judge by blood or marriage, or a person treated by a judge as a member of the judge’s family, who resides in the judge’s household. See Sections 3E(1) and 4D(5).

“Nonpublic information” denotes information that, by law, is not available to the public. Nonpublic information may include but is not limited to: information that is sealed by statute or court order, impounded or communicated in camera; and information offered in grand jury proceedings, presentencing reports, dependency cases or psychiatric reports. See Section 3B(11).

“Part-time judge.” A part-time judge is a judge who serves on a continuing or periodic basis, but is permitted by law to devote time to some other profession or occupation and whose compensation for that reason is less than that of a full-time judge. See Application Section C.

“Political organization” denotes a political party or other group, the principal purpose of which is to further the election or appointment of candidates to political office. See Sections 5A(1), 5B(2) and 5C(1).

“Pro tempore part-time judge.” A pro tempore part-time judge is a judge who serves or expects to serve occasionally on a part-time basis under a separate appointment for each period of service or for each case heard. See Application Section D.

“Public election.” This term refers to partisan elections and includes primary and general elections. See Section 5C.

“Require.” The rules prescribing that a judge “require” certain conduct of others are, like all of the rules in this Code, rules of reason. The use of the term “require” in that context means a judge is to exercise reasonable direction and control over the conduct of those persons subject to the judge’s direction and control. See Sections 3B(3), 3B(4), 3B(6), 3B(9) and 3C(2).

“Third degree of relationship.” The following persons are relatives within the third degree of relationship: great-grandparent, grandparent, parent, uncle, aunt, brother, sister, child, grandchild, great-grandchild, nephew or niece. See Section 3E(1).

[**History:** Terminology section Am. effective July 15, 1996; Am. effective January 1, 2002.]

CANON 1

A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

An independent and honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice in our society. A judge should participate in establishing, maintaining and enforcing high standards of conduct, and shall personally observe those standards so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary will be preserved. The provisions of this Code are to be construed and applied to further that objective.

Commentary:

Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Case Annotations

1. Judge's ex parte conversation with her long-time attorney friend regarding the pending case was found inappropriate under Rule 601A, Canons 1, 2A, and 3B(7). *Subway Restaurants, Inc. v. Kessler*, 266 Kan. 433, 970 P.2d 526 (1998).
2. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2A, 3B, 3C, 3E, and 3F; by signing the cease and desist order, judge agreed to accept the Commission's conclusions that he violated the Canons per Rule 611; public censure per rule 620. *In re Platt*, 269 Kan. 509, 8 P.3d 686 (2000).
3. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2A, 2B, and 3C(1), (2) and (4); judge stipulated to evidence; Commission recommends public censure; public censure per Rule 620. *In re Groneman*, 272 Kan. 1345, 38 P.3d 735 (2002).
4. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2, and 4A(2) of the Code of Judicial Conduct; Commission assigned panel to conduct investigation per Rule 609; since respondent failed to file exceptions, the Commission's findings and conclusions are conclusive per Rule 623; removal from office per Rule 620(a). *In re Robertson*, 280 Kan 266, 120 P.3d 790 (2005).

CANON 2

A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All of the Judge's Activities

A. A judge shall respect and comply with the law* and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

Commentary:

Public confidence in the judiciary is eroded by irresponsible or improper conduct by judges. A judge must avoid all impropriety and appearance of impropriety. A judge must expect to be the subject of constant public scrutiny. A judge must therefore accept restrictions on the judge's conduct that might be viewed as burdensome by the ordinary citizen and should do so freely and willingly.

The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

B. A judge shall not allow family, social, political or other relationships to influence the judge's judicial conduct or judgment. A judge shall not lend the prestige of judicial office to advance the private interests of the judge or others; nor shall a judge convey or permit others to convey the impression that they are in a special position to influence the judge. A judge shall not testify voluntarily as a character witness.

Commentary:

Maintaining the prestige of judicial office is essential to a system of government in which the judiciary functions independently of the executive and legislative branches. Respect for the judicial office facilitates the orderly conduct of legitimate judicial functions. Judges should distinguish between proper and improper use of the prestige of office in all of their activities. For example, it would be improper for a judge to allude to his or her judgeship to gain a personal advantage such as deferential treatment when stopped by a police officer for a traffic offense. Similarly, judicial letterhead must not be used for non-official matters of any kind.

A judge must avoid lending the prestige of judicial office for the advancement of the private interests of others. For example, a judge must not use the judge's judicial position to gain advantage in a civil suit involving a member of the judge's family. In contracts for publication of a judge's writings, a judge should retain control over the advertising to avoid exploitation of the judge's office. As to the acceptance of awards, see Section 4(D)(5)(a) and Commentary.

Although a judge should be sensitive to possible abuse of the prestige of office, a judge may, based on the judge's personal knowledge, serve as a reference or provide a letter of recommendation.

Judges may participate in the process of judicial selection by cooperating with appointing authorities, nominating commissions and screening committees seeking names for consideration, and by responding to official inquiries concerning a person being considered for a judgeship. See also Canon 5 regarding use of a judge's name in political activities.

A judge must not testify voluntarily as a character witness because to do so may lend the prestige of the judicial office in support of the party for whom the judge testifies. Moreover, when a judge testifies as a witness, a lawyer who regularly appears before the judge may be placed in the awkward position of cross-examining the judge. A judge may, however, testify when properly summoned. Except in unusual circumstances where the demands of justice

require, a judge should discourage a party from requiring the judge to testify as a character witness.

C. A judge shall refrain from speech, gestures or other conduct that could be perceived by a reasonable person as harassment based upon race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, or sexual orientation, and shall require the same standard of conduct of others subject to the judge's direction and control.

Commentary:

"Harassment" is verbal or physical conduct that denigrates or shows hostility or aversion toward an individual because of his/her race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability or sexual orientation or that of his/her relatives, friends, or associates.

"Harassing conduct" includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (i) Epithets, slurs, negative stereotyping, or threatening, intimidating or hostile acts that relate to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability or sexual orientation and
- (ii) Written or graphic material that denigrates or shows hostility or aversion toward an individual or group because of gender and that is placed on walls, bulletin boards, or elsewhere on the premises, or circulated in the workplace, and
- (iii) sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature that are unwelcome, regardless of gender.

[History: Am. C. effective July 14, 2000.]

Case Annotations

1. Judge's ex parte conversation with her long-time attorney friend regarding the pending case was found inappropriate under Rule 601A, Canons 1, 2A, and 3B(7). *Subway Restaurants, Inc. v. Kessler*, 266 Kan. 433, 970 P.2d 526 (1998).

2. Court disapproves of the sentencing judge's crude and undignified comments in violations of Supreme Court Rule 601A Canons 2A and 3B(4); dissent would vacate the sentence imposed because the judge's comments show partiality, prejudice, and oppression against female victims of sex crimes. *State v. Sampsel*, 268 Kan. 264, 997 P.2d 664 (2000).

3. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2A, 3B, 3C, 3E, and 3F; by signing the cease and desist order, judge agreed to accept the Commission's conclusions that he violated the Canons per Rule 611; public censure per rule 620. *In re Platt*, 269 Kan. 509, 8 P.3d 686 (2000).

4. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2A, 2B, and 3C(1), (2) and (4); judge stipulated to evidence; Commission recommends public censure; public censure per Rule 620. *In re Groneman*, 272 Kan. 1345, 38 P.3d 735 (2002).

5. Court disapproves of the trial judge's statements and comments as violations of Supreme Court Rule 601A Canons 2 and 3; defendant's conviction reversed and case remanded for new trial before different judge. *State v. Miller*, 274 Kan. 113, 49 P.3d 458 (2002).

6. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2, and 4A(2) of the Code of Judicial Conduct; Commission assigned panel to conduct investigation per Rule 609; since respondent failed to file exceptions, the Commission's findings and conclusions are conclusive per Rule 623; removal from office per Rule 620(a). *In re Robertson*, 280 Kan 266, 120 P.3d 790 (2005).

7. Judge's actions during a jury trial violated Canon 2A and 3B(3) of the Kansas Code of Judicial Conduct; findings of fact and conclusions of law deemed admitted per Rule 623(d) since respondent failed to file exceptions; Commission finds violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct by clear and convincing evidence per Rule 620; review of record supports the Commission's recommendation that respondent be publicly censured. *In re Pilshaw*, 286 Kan. 574, 186 P.3d 708 (2008).

CANON 3

A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently

A. Judicial Duties in General. The judicial duties of a judge take precedence over all the judge's other activities. The judge's judicial duties include all the duties of the judge's office prescribed by law.* In the performance of these duties, the following standards apply.

B. Adjudicative Responsibilities.

- (1) A judge shall hear and decide matters assigned to the judge except those in which disqualification is required.
- (2) A judge shall be faithful to the law* and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.
- (3) A judge shall require* order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.
- (4) A judge shall be patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers and others with whom the judge deals in an official capacity, and shall require* similar conduct of lawyers, and of staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control.

Commentary:

The duty to hear all proceedings fairly and with patience is not inconsistent with the duty to dispose promptly of the business of the court. Judges can be efficient and businesslike while being patient and deliberate.

- (5) A judge shall perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice. A judge shall not, in the performance of judicial duties, by words or conduct manifest bias or prejudice, including but not limited to bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, and shall not permit staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control to do so.

Commentary:

A judge must refrain from speech, gestures or other conduct that could reasonably be perceived as sexual harassment and must require the same standard of conduct of others subject to the judge's direction and control.

A judge must perform judicial duties impartially and fairly. A judge who manifests bias on any basis in a proceeding impairs the fairness of the proceeding and brings the judiciary into disrepute. Facial expressions and body language, in addition to oral communication, can give to parties or lawyers in the proceeding, jurors, the media and others an appearance of judicial bias. A judge must be alert to avoid behavior that may be perceived as prejudicial.

- (6) A judge shall require* lawyers in proceedings before the judge to refrain from manifesting, by words or conduct, bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, against parties, witnesses, counsel or others. This Section 3B(6) does not preclude legitimate advocacy when race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status, or other similar factors, are issues in the proceeding.
- (7) A judge shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, or that person's lawyer, the right to be heard according to law.* A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties concerning a pending or impending proceeding except that:
 - (a) Where circumstances require, ex parte communications for scheduling, administrative purposes or emergencies that do not deal with substantive matters or issues on the merits are authorized; provided:
 - (i) the judge reasonably believes that no party will gain a procedural or tactical advantage as a result of the ex parte communication, and
 - (ii) the judge makes provision promptly to notify all other parties of the substance of the ex parte communication and allows an opportunity to respond.
 - (b) A judge may obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on the law applicable to a proceeding before the judge by inviting the expert to file a brief *amicus curiae* provided the judge affords the parties reasonable opportunity to respond.
 - (c) A judge may consult with the court personnel* whose function is to aid the judge in carrying out the judge's adjudicative responsibilities or with other judges.
 - (d) A judge may, with the consent of the parties, confer separately with the parties and their lawyers in an effort to mediate or settle matters pending before the judge.
 - (e) A judge may initiate or consider any ex parte communications when expressly authorized by law to do so.

Commentary:

The proscription against communications concerning a proceeding includes communications from lawyers, law teachers, and other persons who are not participants in the proceeding, except to the limited extent permitted.

To the extent reasonably possible, all parties or their lawyers shall be included in communications with a judge.

Whenever presence of a party or notice to a party is required by Section 3B(7), it is the party's lawyer, or if the party is unrepresented, the party who is to be present or to whom notice is to be given.

An appropriate and often desirable procedure for a court to obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on legal issues is to invite the expert to file a brief *amicus curiae*.

Certain *ex parte* communication is approved by Section 3B(7) to facilitate scheduling and other administrative purposes and to accommodate emergencies. In general, however, a judge must discourage *ex parte* communication and allow it only if all the criteria stated in Section 3B(7) are clearly met. A judge must disclose to all parties all *ex parte* communications described in Sections 3B(7)(a) and 3B(7)(b) regarding a proceeding pending or impending before the judge.

A judge must not independently investigate facts in a case and must consider only the evidence presented.

A judge may request a party to submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, so long as the other parties are apprised of the request and are given an opportunity to respond to the proposed findings and conclusions.

A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(7) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff.

If communication between the trial judge and the appellate court with respect to a proceeding is permitted, a copy of any written communication or the substance of any oral communication should be provided to all parties.

- (8) A judge shall dispose of all judicial matters promptly, efficiently and fairly.

Commentary:

In disposing of matters promptly, efficiently and fairly, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of the parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without unnecessary cost or delay. Containing costs while preserving fundamental rights of parties also protects the interests of witnesses and the general public. A judge should monitor and supervise cases so as to reduce or eliminate dilatory practices, avoidable delays and unnecessary costs. A judge should encourage and seek to facilitate settlement, but parties should not feel coerced into surrendering the right to have their controversy resolved by the courts.

Prompt disposition of the court's business requires a judge to devote adequate time to judicial duties, to be punctual in attending court and expeditious in determining matters under submission, and to insist that court officials, litigants and their lawyers cooperate with the judge to that end.

- (9) A judge shall not, while a proceeding is pending or impending in any court, make any public comment that might reasonably be expected to affect its outcome or impair its fairness or make any nonpublic comment that might substantially interfere with a fair trial or hearing. The judge shall require* sim-

ilar abstention on the part of court personnel* subject to the judge's direction and control. This Section does not prohibit judges from making public statements in the course of their official duties or from explaining for public information the procedures of the court. This Section does not apply to proceedings in which the judge is a litigant in a personal capacity.

Commentary:

The requirement that judges abstain from public comment regarding a pending or impending proceeding continues during any appellate process and until final disposition. This Section does not prohibit a judge from commenting on proceedings in which the judge is a litigant in a personal capacity, but in cases such as a writ of mandamus where the judge is a litigant in an official capacity, the judge must not comment publicly. The conduct of lawyers relating to trial publicity is governed by Rule 3.6 of the Kansas Rules of Professional Conduct (KRPC 3.6 [2008 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 545]).

- (10) A judge shall not commend or criticize jurors for their verdict other than in a court order or opinion in a proceeding, but may express appreciation to jurors for their service to the judicial system and the community.

Commentary:

Commending or criticizing jurors for their verdict may imply a judicial expectation in future cases and may impair a juror's ability to be fair and impartial in a subsequent case.

- (11) A judge shall not disclose or use, for any purpose unrelated to judicial duties, nonpublic information* acquired in a judicial capacity.

C. Administrative Responsibilities.

- (1) A judge shall diligently discharge the judge's administrative responsibilities without bias or prejudice and maintain professional competence in judicial administration, and should cooperate with other judges and court officials in the administration of court business.
- (2) A judge shall require* staff, court officials and others subject to the judge's direction and control to observe the standards of fidelity and diligence that apply to the judge and to refrain from manifesting bias or prejudice in the performance of their official duties.
- (3) A judge with supervisory authority for the judicial performance of other judges shall take reasonable measures to encourage the prompt disposition of matters and the proper performance of judicial responsibilities.
- (4) A judge shall not make unnecessary appointments. A judge shall exercise the power of appointment impartially and on

the basis of merit. A judge shall avoid nepotism and favoritism. A judge shall not approve compensation of appointees beyond the fair value of services rendered.

Commentary:

Appointees of a judge include assigned counsel, officials such as referees, commissioners, special masters, receivers and guardians and personnel such as clerks, secretaries and bailiffs. Consent by the parties to an appointment or an award of compensation does not relieve the judge of the obligation prescribed by Section 3C(4).

D. Disciplinary Responsibilities.

- (1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a violation of this Code should take appropriate action. A judge having knowledge^o that another judge has committed a violation of this Code that raises a substantial question as to the other judge's fitness for office shall inform the appropriate authority.^o
- (2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Kansas Rules of Professional Conduct (KRPC) Rule 226 (2008 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 391) should take appropriate action. A judge having knowledge^o that a lawyer has committed a violation of the KRPC that raises a substantial question as to the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects shall inform the appropriate authority.^o
- (3) Acts of a judge, in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities, required or permitted by Sections 3D(1) and 3D(2) are part of a judge's judicial duties and shall be absolutely privileged, and no civil action predicated thereon may be instituted against the judge.

Commentary:

Appropriate action may include direct communication with the judge or lawyer who has committed the violation, other direct action if available, and reporting the violation to the appropriate authority or other agency or body.

E. Disqualification.

- (1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where:

Commentary:

Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that law firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

By decisional law, the rule of necessity may override the rule of disqualification. For example, a judge might be required to participate in judicial review of a judicial salary statute, or might be the only judge available in a matter requiring immediate judicial action, such as a hearing on probable cause or a temporary restraining order. In the latter case, the judge must disclose on the record the basis for possible disqualification and use reasonable efforts to transfer the matter to another judge as soon as practicable.

- (a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge* of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;
- (b) the judge served as a lawyer in the matter in controversy, or a lawyer with whom the judge previously practiced law served during such association as a lawyer concerning the matter, or the judge has been a material witness concerning it;

Commentary:

A lawyer in a government agency does not ordinarily have an association with other lawyers employed by that agency within the meaning of Section 3E(1)(b); a judge formerly employed by a government agency, however, should disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding if the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned because of such association.

- (c) the judge knows* that he or she, individually or as a fiduciary, or the judge's spouse, parent or child wherever residing, or any other member of the judge's family residing in the judge's household,* has an economic interest* in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding or has any other more than de minimis* interest that could be substantially affected by the proceeding;
- (d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship* to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:
 - (i) is a party to the proceeding, or an officer, director or trustee of a party;
 - (ii) is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding;
 - (iii) is known* by the judge to have a more than de minimis* interest that could be substantially affected by the proceeding;
 - (iv) is to the judge's knowledge* likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.

Commentary:

The fact that a lawyer in a proceeding is affiliated with a law firm with which a relative of the judge is affiliated does not of itself disqualify the judge. Under appropriate circumstances, the fact that "the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned" under Section 3E(1), or that the relative is known by the judge to have an interest in the law firm that could be "substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding" under Section 3E(1)(d)(iii) may require the judge's disqualification.

- (2) A judge shall keep informed about the judge's personal and fiduciary^o economic interests,^o and make a reasonable effort to keep informed about the personal economic interests of the judge's spouse and minor children residing in the judge's household.

F. Remittal of Disqualification. A judge disqualified by the terms of Section 3E may disclose on the record the basis of the judge's disqualification and may ask the parties and their lawyers to consider, out of the presence of the judge, whether to waive disqualification. If following disclosure of any basis for disqualification other than personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, the parties and lawyers, without participation by the judge, all agree that the judge should not be disqualified, and the judge is then willing to participate, the judge may participate in the proceeding. The agreement shall be incorporated in the record of the proceeding.

Commentary:

A remittal procedure provides the parties an opportunity to proceed without delay if they wish to waive the disqualification. To assure that consideration of the question of remittal is made independently of the judge, a judge must not solicit, seek or hear comment on possible remittal or waiver of the disqualification unless the lawyers jointly propose remittal after consultation as provided in the rule. A party may act through counsel if counsel represents on the record that the party has been consulted and consents. As a practical matter, a judge may wish to have all parties and their lawyers sign the remittal agreement.

Case Annotations

1. Judge's duty to recuse discussed in test to determine if defendant received fair trial without due process rights violation. *State v. Alderson*, 260 Kan. 445, 922 P.2d 435 (1996).
2. Judge's ex parte meeting with the family of homicide victim and ex parte consideration of petition requesting imposition of harsh punishment denied defendant a fair sentencing hearing; Rule 601, Canon 3A(4) and Rule 601A, Canon 3B(7) cited. *State v. Scales*, 261 Kan. 734, 933 P.2d 737 (1997).
3. Two-part test in deciding whether to vacate a judgment because of a judge's failure to recuse discussed. *Subway Restaurants, Inc. v. Kessler*, 266 Kan. 433, 970 P.2d 526 (1998).
4. Judge's ex parte conversation with her long-time attorney friend regarding the pending case was found inappropriate under Rule 601A, Canons 1, 2A, and 3B(7). *Subway Restaurants, Inc. v. Kessler*, 266 Kan. 433, 970 P.2d 526 (1998).
5. Court disapproves of the sentencing judge's crude and undignified comments in violations of Supreme Court Rule 601A Canons 2A and 3B(4); dissent would vacate the sen-

tence imposed because the judge's comments show partiality, prejudice, and oppression against female victims of sex crimes. *State v. Sampsel*, 268 Kan. 264, 997 P.2d 664 (2000).

6. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2A, 3B, 3C, 3E, and 3F; by signing the cease and desist order, judge agreed to accept the Commission's conclusions that he violated the Canons per Rule 611; public censure per rule 620. *In re Platt*, 269 Kan. 509, 8 P.3d 686 (2000).

7. Attorney filed a motion per Rule 204(d) and Canon 3E(1) to vacate the panel's report and to grant a new hearing on a basis that the panel's chairperson practiced in the same law firm of an attorney who prosecuted claim against him. *In re Lucas*, 269 Kan. 785, 7 P.3d 1186 (2000).

8. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2A, 2B, and 3C(1), (2), and (4); judge stipulated to evidence; Commission recommends public censure; public censure per Rule 620. *In re Groneman*, 272 Kan. 1345, 38 P.3d 735 (2002).

9. Court disapproves of the trial judge's statements and comments as violations of Supreme Court Rule 601A Canons 2 and 3; defendant's conviction reversed and case remanded for new trial before different judge. *State v. Miller*, 274 Kan. 113, 49 P.3d 458 (2002).

10. Court disapproves of trial judge's misconduct and statements citing Canon 3B(4); defendant did not get fair trial; defendant's convictions reversed and remanded for new trial before different district judge. *State v. Hayden*, 281 Kan. 112, 130 P.3d 24 (2006).

11. District judge violated Canon 3 and her comments constituted judicial misconduct; however, her misconduct did not prejudice defendant's substantial rights. *State v. Gaither*, 283 Kan. 671, 156 P.3d 602 (2007).

12. Canon 3E discussed relating to defendant's motion requesting recusal of trial judge; motion denied. *State v. Walker*, 283 Kan. 587, 153 P.3d 1257 (2007).

13. Under Rule 601A, Canon 3E(a)(a), a defendant must demonstrate actual bias or prejudice by the judge in motion for change of judge; motion for change of judge denied. *State v. Sappington*, 285 Kan. 176, 169 P.3d 1107 (2007).

14. Judge's actions during a jury trial violated Canon 2A and 3B(3) of the Kansas Code of Judicial Conduct; findings of fact and conclusions of law deemed admitted per Rule 623(d) since respondent failed to file exceptions; Commission finds violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct by clear and convincing evidence per Rule 620; review of record supports the Commission's recommendation that respondent be publicly censured. *In re Pishaw*, 286 Kan. 574, 186 P.3d 708 (2008).

15. Judicial misconduct that results in a refusal to add language in a pattern jury instruction will not mandate a new trial if the instruction actually given was an accurate and appropriate statement of the law under the facts of the case. *State v. Tyler*, 286 Kan. —, 191 P.3d 306 (2008).

CANON 4

A Judge Shall so Conduct the Judge's Extra-judicial Activities as to Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Obligations

A. Extra-judicial Activities in General. A judge shall conduct all of the judge's extra-judicial activities so that they do not:

- (1) cast reasonable doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially as a judge;
- (2) demean the judicial office; or
- (3) interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties.

Commentary:

Complete separation of a judge from extra-judicial activities is neither possible nor wise; a judge should not become isolated from the community in which the judge lives.

Expressions of bias or prejudice by a judge, even outside the judge's judicial activities, may cast reasonable doubt on the judge's capacity to act impartially as a judge. Expressions which may do so include jokes or other remarks demeaning individuals on the basis of their race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation or socioeconomic status.

B. Avocational Activities. A judge may speak, write, lecture, teach and participate in other extra-judicial activities concerning the law,* the legal system, the administration of justice and non-legal subjects, subject to the requirements of this Code.

Commentary:

As a judicial officer and person specially learned in the law, a judge is in a unique position to contribute to the improvement of the law, the legal system, and the administration of justice, including revision of substantive and procedural law and improvement of criminal and juvenile justice. To the extent that time permits, a judge is encouraged to do so, either independently or through a bar association, judicial conference or other organization dedicated to the improvement of the law. Judges may participate in efforts to promote the fair administration of justice, the independence of the judiciary and the integrity of the legal profession and may express opposition to the persecution of lawyers and judges in other countries because of their professional activities.

In this and other Sections of Canon 4, the phrase "subject to the requirements of this Code" is used, notably in connection with a judge's governmental, civic or charitable activities. This phrase is included to remind judges that the use of permissive language in various Sections of the Code does not relieve a judge from the other requirements of the Code that apply to the specific conduct.

C. Governmental, Civic or Charitable Activities.

- (1) A judge shall not appear at a public hearing before, or otherwise consult with, an executive or legislative body or official except on matters concerning the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice or except when acting pro se in a matter involving the judge or the judge's interests.

Commentary:

See Section 2B regarding the obligation to avoid improper influence.

- (2) A judge shall not accept appointment to a governmental committee or commission or other governmental position that is concerned with issues of fact or policy on matters other than the improvement of the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice. A judge may, however, represent a country, state or locality on ceremonial occasions or in connection with historical, educational or cultural activities.

Commentary:

Section 4C(2) prohibits a judge from accepting any governmental position except one relating to the law, legal system or administration of justice as authorized by Section 4C(3).

The appropriateness of accepting extra-judicial assignments must be assessed in light of the demands on judicial resources created by crowded dockets and the need to protect the courts from involvement in extra-judicial matters that may prove to be controversial. Judges should not accept governmental appointments that are likely to interfere with the effectiveness and independence of the judiciary.

Section 4C(2) does not govern a judge's service in a nongovernmental position. See Section 4C(3) permitting service by a judge with organizations devoted to the improvement of the law, the legal system or the administration of justice and with educational, religious, charitable, fraternal or civic organizations not conducted for profit. For example, service on the board of a public educational institution, unless it were a law school, would be prohibited under Section 4C(2), but service on the board of a public law school or any private educational institution would generally be permitted under Section 4C(3).

- (3) **Quasi-judicial Activities.** A judge may serve as a member, officer, or director of an organization or governmental agency devoted to the improvement of the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice. A judge may assist such an organization in raising funds and may participate in their management and investment, but should not personally participate in public fund-raising activities. A judge may make recommendations to public and private fund-granting agencies on projects and programs concerning the law, the legal system, and the administration of justice.
- (4) **Civic and Charitable Activities.** A judge may participate in civic and charitable activities that do not reflect adversely upon the judge's impartiality or interfere with the performance of the judge's judicial duties. A judge may serve as an officer, director, trustee, or non-legal advisor of an educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organization not conducted for the economic or political advantage of its members, subject to the following limitations:
 - (a) A judge should not serve if it is likely that the organization will be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge or will be regularly engaged in adversary proceedings in any court.
 - (b) A judge should not solicit funds for any educational, religious, charitable, fraternal, or civic organization, or use or permit the use of the prestige of office for that purpose, but a judge may be listed as an officer, director, or trustee of such an organization, so long as the listing is not used for fund-raising purposes. A judge should not be a speaker or the guest of honor at an organization's fund-raising events, but may attend such events.

- (c) A judge should not give investment advice to such an organization, but a judge may serve on its board of directors or trustees even though it has the responsibility for approving investment decisions.

Commentary:

The changing nature of some civic and charitable organizations and of their relationship to the law makes it necessary for a judge regularly to reexamine the activities of each organization with which the judge is affiliated to determine if it is proper to continue his or her relationship with it. For example, in many jurisdictions, charitable hospitals are in court now more frequently than in the past. Similarly, the boards of some organizations now make policy decisions that may have political significance or imply commitment to causes that may come before the courts for adjudication.

D. Financial Activities.

- (1) A judge shall not engage in financial and business dealings that:
- (a) may reasonably be perceived to exploit the judge's judicial position, or
 - (b) involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business relationships with those lawyers or other persons likely to come before the court on which the judge serves.

Commentary:

The Time for Compliance provision of this Code (Application, Section E) postpones the time for compliance with certain provisions of this Section in some cases.

When a judge acquires in a judicial capacity information, such as material contained in filings with the court, that is not yet generally known, the judge must not use the information for private gain. See Section 2B; see also Section 3B(11).

A judge must avoid financial and business dealings that involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business relationships with persons likely to come either before the judge personally or before other judges on the judge's court. In addition, a judge should discourage members of the judge's family from engaging in dealings that would reasonably appear to exploit the judge's judicial position. This rule is necessary to avoid creating an appearance of exploitation of office or favoritism and to minimize the potential for disqualification. With respect to affiliation of relatives of a judge with law firms appearing before the judge, see Commentary to Section 3E(1)(d) relating to disqualification.

Participation by a judge in financial and business dealings is subject to the general prohibitions in Section 4A against activities that tend to reflect adversely on impartiality, demean the judicial office, or interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties. Such participation is also subject to the general prohibition in Canon 2 against activities involving impropriety or the appearance of impropriety and the prohibition in Section 2B against the misuse of the prestige of judicial office. In addition, a judge must maintain high standards of conduct in all of the judge's activities, as set forth in Canon 1. See Commentary for Section 4B regarding use of the phrase "subject to the requirements of this Code."

- (2) A judge may, subject to the requirements of this Code, hold and manage investments of the judge and members of the

judge's family,* including real estate, and engage in other remunerative activity.

Commentary:

This Section provides that, subject to the requirements of this Code, a judge may hold and manage investments owned solely by the judge, investments owned solely by a member or members of the judge's family, and investments owned jointly by the judge and members of the judge's family.

- (3) A judge shall not serve as an officer, director, manager, general partner, advisor or employee of any business entity except that a judge may, subject to the requirements of this Code, manage and participate in:
 - (a) a business closely held by the judge or members of the judge's family,* or
 - (b) a business entity primarily engaged in investment of the financial resources of the judge or members of the judge's family.

Commentary:

Subject to the requirements of this Code, a judge may participate in a business that is closely held either by the judge alone, by members of the judge's family, or by the judge and members of the judge's family.

Although participation by a judge in a closely-held family business might otherwise be permitted by Section 4D(3), a judge may be prohibited from participation by other provisions of this Code when, for example, the business entity frequently appears before the judge's court or the participation requires significant time away from judicial duties. Similarly, a judge must avoid participating in a closely-held family business if the judge's participation would involve misuse of the prestige of judicial office.

- (4) A judge shall manage the judge's investments and other financial interests to minimize the number of cases in which the judge is disqualified. As soon as the judge can do so without serious financial detriment, the judge shall divest himself or herself of investments and other financial interests that might require frequent disqualification.
- (5) A judge shall not accept, and shall urge members of the judge's family residing in the judge's household,* not to accept, a gift, bequest, favor or loan from anyone except for:

Commentary:

Section 4D(5) does not apply to contributions to a judge's campaign for judicial office, a matter governed by Canon 5.

Because a gift, bequest, favor or loan to a member of the judge's family residing in the judge's household might be viewed as intended to influence the judge, a judge must inform those family members of the relevant ethical constraints upon the judge in this regard and discourage those family members from violating them. A judge cannot, however, reasonably be expected to know or control all of the financial or business activities of all family members residing in the judge's household.

- (a) a gift incident to a public testimonial, books, tapes and other resource materials supplied by publishers on a complimentary basis for official use, or an invitation to the judge and the judge's spouse or guest to attend a bar-related function or an activity devoted to the improvement of the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice;

Commentary:

Acceptance of an invitation to a law-related function is governed by Section 4D(5)(a); acceptance of an invitation paid for by an individual lawyer or group of lawyers is governed by Section 4D(5)(h).

A judge may accept a public testimonial or a gift incident thereto only if the donor organization is not an organization whose members comprise or frequently represent the same side in litigation, and the testimonial and gift are otherwise in compliance with other provisions of this Code. See Sections 4A(1) and 2B.

- (b) a gift, award or benefit incident to the business, profession or other separate activity of a spouse or other family member of a judge residing in the judge's household, including gifts, awards and benefits for the use of both the spouse or other family member and the judge (as spouse or family member), provided the gift, award or benefit could not reasonably be perceived as intended to influence the judge in the performance of judicial duties and is reported if its value exceeds \$150;
- (c) ordinary social hospitality;
- (d) a gift from a relative or friend, for a special occasion, such as a wedding, anniversary or birthday, if the gift is fairly commensurate with the occasion and the relationship.

Commentary:

A gift to a judge, or to a member of the judge's family living in the judge's household, that is excessive in value raises questions about the judge's impartiality and the integrity of the judicial office and might require disqualification of the judge where disqualification would not otherwise be required. See, however, Section 4D(5)(e).

- (e) a gift, bequest, favor or loan from a relative or close personal friend whose appearance or interest in a case would in any event require disqualification under Section 3E;
- (f) a loan from a lending institution in its regular course of business on the same terms generally available to persons who are not judges;
- (g) a scholarship or fellowship awarded on the same terms and based on the same criteria applied to other applicants; or

- (h) any other gift, bequest, or favor to the judge, the judge's spouse, dependent children, or dependent step children, only if: the donor is not a party or other person who has come or is likely to come or whose interests have come or are likely to come before the judge; and, if its value exceeds \$150, the judge reports it in the same manner as the judge reports a gift.

[**History:** Am. 4D(5)(b) and (h) effective January 1, 2002; Am. 4D(5)(h) effective September 11, 2002.]

Commentary:

Section 4D(5)(h) prohibits judges from accepting gifts, favors, or bequests from lawyers or their firms if they have come or are likely to come before the judge; it also prohibits gifts, favors, or bequests from clients of lawyers or their firms when the clients' interests have come or are likely to come before the judge.

E. Fiduciary Activities.

- (1) A judge shall not serve as executor, administrator or other personal representative, trustee, guardian, attorney in fact or other fiduciary,* except for the estate, trust or person of a member of the judge's family,* and then only if such service will not interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties.
- (2) A judge shall not serve as a fiduciary* if it is likely that the judge as a fiduciary will be engaged in proceedings that would ordinarily come before the judge, or if the estate, trust or ward becomes involved in adversary proceedings in the court on which the judge serves or one under its appellate jurisdiction.
- (3) The same restrictions on financial activities that apply to a judge personally also apply to the judge while acting in a fiduciary* capacity.

Commentary:

The Time for Compliance provision of this Code (Application, Section F) postpones the time for compliance with certain provisions of this Section in some cases.

The restrictions imposed by this Canon may conflict with the judge's obligation as a fiduciary. For example, a judge should resign as trustee if detriment to the trust would result from divestiture of holdings the retention of which would place the judge in violation of Section 4D(4).

F. Service as Arbitrator or Mediator. A judge shall not act as an arbitrator or mediator or otherwise perform judicial functions in a private capacity unless expressly authorized by law.*

Commentary:

Section 4F does not prohibit a judge from participating in arbitration, mediation or settlement conferences performed as part of judicial duties.

G. Practice of Law. A judge shall not practice law. Notwithstanding this prohibition, a judge may act pro se and may, without compensation, give legal advice to and draft or review documents for a member of the judge's family.*

Commentary:

This prohibition refers to the practice of law in a representative capacity and not in a pro se capacity. A judge may act for himself or herself in all legal matters, including matters involving litigation and matters involving appearances before or other dealings with legislative and other governmental bodies. However, in so doing, a judge must not abuse the prestige of office to advance the interests of the judge or the judge's family. See Section 2(B).

The Code allows a judge to give legal advice to and draft legal documents for members of the judge's family, so long as the judge receives no compensation. A judge must not, however, except as authorized under 4E(1), act as an advocate or negotiator for a member of the judge's family in a legal matter.

H. Annual Reporting of Compensation, Fees and Commissions, Ownership Interests, Expense Reimbursement, Positions, and Liabilities. A judge may receive compensation, fees and commissions, and reimbursement of expenses for the quasi-judicial and extra-judicial activities permitted by this Code, if the source of such payments does not give the appearance of influencing the judge in the performance of judicial duties or otherwise give the appearance of impropriety, subject to the restrictions set out below. Ownership interests, positions, and liabilities are reportable as set out below.

- (1) Compensation. Compensation should not exceed a reasonable amount nor should it exceed what a person who is not a judge would receive for the same activity. Reportable compensation means income received for the personal services of the judge in an amount in excess of \$500 from any single payor or in excess of \$3,000 from all payors during the reporting period; income received for the personal services of the judge's spouse in an amount in excess of \$3,000 from a single source during the reporting period; and income derived from business; royalties, including ownership of mineral rights; annuities; life insurance and contract payments.
- (2) Fees and Commissions. A judge shall report each client or customer who pays fees or commissions to a business or combination of businesses from which fees or commissions the judge or the judge's spouse received an aggregate in excess of \$3,000 during the reporting period. The phrase "client or customer" relates only to businesses or combination of businesses. The term "business" means any corporation, association, partnership, proprietorship, trust, joint venture, or a gov-

ernmental agency unit, or a governmental subdivision, and every other business interest, including ownership or use of land for income. The term "combination of businesses" means any two or more businesses owned or controlled directly by the same interests. The term "other business interest" means any endeavor which produces income, including appraisals, consulting, authorships, inventing or the sale of goods and services.

- (3) **Ownership Interests.** A judge shall report any corporation, partnership, proprietorship, trust, retirement plan, joint venture, and every other business interest, including land used for income, in which either the judge or the judge's spouse, dependent children, or dependent step children have owned a legal or equitable interest exceeding \$5,000 during the reporting period.
- (4) **Expense Reimbursement.** Expense reimbursement should be limited to the actual cost of travel, food, and lodging reasonably incurred by the judge and, where appropriate to the occasion, by the judge's spouse. Any payment in excess of such an amount is compensation, except that travel expenses or subsistence allowances paid by the state or any political subdivision are not compensation.
- (5) **Positions.** A judge shall report any business, organization, labor organization, educational or other institution or entity in which the judge now holds or has held a position of officer, director, associate, partner, proprietor, trustee, guardian, custodian, or similar fiduciary, representative, employee, or consultant at the time of filing this report or during the reporting period.
- (6) **Liabilities.** A judge shall report all of the judge's, the judge's spouse's, dependent children's, and dependent step children's liabilities to any creditor which exceeded \$10,000 at any time during the reporting period except for any liability owed to a spouse, parent, brother, sister, or child; any mortgage secured by real property which is a personal residence of the judge or the judge's spouse; any loan secured by a personal motor vehicle, household furniture, or appliances that does not exceed the purchase price of the item securing the liability; any revolving charge account, the balance of which did not exceed \$10,000 at the close of the reporting period; and political campaign funds.

- (7) **Public Reports.** A judge shall report annually the information listed in Canon 4D(5)(b), (h) and 4H(1) - (6) on a form provided by the Commission on Judicial Qualifications. The judge's report for the preceding calendar year shall be filed as a public document in the office of the Clerk of the Appellate Courts on or before April 15 of each year.

[**History:** Am. H. effective January 1, 2002.]

Commentary:

See Section 4D(5) regarding reporting of gifts, bequests and loans.

The Code does not prohibit a judge from accepting honoraria or speaking fees provided that the compensation is reasonable and commensurate with the task performed. A judge should ensure, however, that no conflicts are created by the arrangement. A judge must not appear to trade on the judicial position for personal advantage. Nor should a judge spend significant time away from court duties to meet speaking or writing commitments for compensation. In addition, the source of the payment must not raise any question of undue influence or the judge's ability or willingness to be impartial.

I. Disclosure of a judge's income, debts, investments or other assets is required only to the extent provided in this Canon and in Sections 3E and 3F, or as otherwise required by law.*

Commentary:

Section 3E requires a judge to disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge has an economic interest. See "economic interest" as explained in the Terminology Section. Section 4D requires a judge to refrain from engaging in business and from financial activities that might interfere with the impartial performance of judicial duties; Section 4H requires a judge to report all compensation the judge received for activities outside judicial office. A judge has the rights of any other citizen, including the right to privacy of the judge's financial affairs, except to the extent that limitations established by law are required to safeguard the proper performance of the judge's duties.

Case Annotations

1. Judge found to have violated Canons 1, 2, and 4A(2) of the Code of Judicial Conduct; Commission assigned panel to conduct investigation per Rule 609; since respondent failed to file exceptions, the Commission's findings and conclusions are conclusive per Rule 623; removal from office per Rule 620(a). *In re Robertson*, 280 Kan 266, 120 P.3d 790 (2005).

CANON 5

**A Judge or Judicial Candidate Shall Refrain From
Inappropriate Political Activity**

A. All Judges and Candidates.

- (1) Except as authorized in Sections 5B(2), 5C(1), 5C(3), and 5C(4), a judge or a candidate* for election or appointment to judicial office shall not:
- (a) act as a leader or hold an office in a political organization*;

- (b) publicly endorse or publicly oppose another candidate for public office;
- (c) make speeches on behalf of a political organization;
- (d) attend political gatherings; or
- ~~(e) solicit funds for, pay an assessment to or make a contribution to a political organization or candidate, or purchase tickets for political party dinners or other functions.~~

Commentary:

A judge or candidate for judicial office retains the right to participate in the political process as a voter.

Where false information concerning a judicial candidate is made public, a judge or another judicial candidate having knowledge of the facts is not prohibited by Section 5A(1) from making the facts public.

Section 5A(1)(a) does not prohibit a candidate for elective judicial office from retaining during candidacy a public office such as county prosecutor, which is not "an office in a political organization."

Section 5A(1)(b) does not prohibit a judge or judicial candidate from privately expressing his or her views on judicial candidates or other candidates for public office.

A candidate does not publicly endorse another candidate for public office by having that candidate's name on the same ticket.

- (2) A judge shall resign from judicial office upon becoming a candidate* for a nonjudicial office either in a primary or in a general election, or upon election or appointment to fill a vacancy in an elective nonjudicial office.

Commentary:

A judge must resign to run for nonjudicial office and cannot hold judicial office while holding an elective nonjudicial office, whether the nonjudicial office is held by election or by appointment.

- (3) A candidate* for a judicial office:
 - (a) shall maintain the dignity appropriate to judicial office, and act in a manner consistent with the integrity and independence of the judiciary, and shall act in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall encourage members of the candidate's family to adhere to the same standards of political conduct in support of the candidate that apply to the candidate;

Commentary:

Although a judicial candidate must encourage members of his or her family to adhere to the same standards of political conduct in support of the candidate that apply to the candidate, family members are free to participate in other political activity.

- (b) shall prohibit employees and officials who serve at the pleasure of the candidate, and shall discourage other em-

ployees and officials subject to the candidate's direction and control from doing on the candidate's behalf what the candidate is prohibited from doing under the Sections of this Canon;

- (c) except to the extent permitted by Section 5C(2), shall not authorize or knowingly* permit any other person to do for the candidate what the candidate is prohibited from doing under the Sections of this Canon;
- (d) shall not:
 - ~~(i) make pledges or promises of conduct in office other than the faithful and impartial performance of the duties of the office;~~
 - ~~(ii) make statements that commit or appear to commit the candidate with respect to cases, controversies or issues that are likely to come before the court; or~~
 - (iii) knowingly misrepresent the identity, qualifications, present position or other fact concerning the candidate or an opponent;

Commentary:

Section 5A(3)(d) prohibits a candidate for judicial office from making statements that appear to commit the candidate regarding cases, controversies or issues likely to come before the court. As a corollary, a candidate should emphasize in any public statement the candidate's duty to uphold the law regardless of his or her personal views. See also Section 3B(9), the general rule on public comment by judges. Section 5A(3)(d) does not prohibit a candidate from making pledges or promises respecting improvements in court administration. Nor does this Section prohibit an incumbent judge from making private statements to other judges or court personnel in the performance of judicial duties. This Section applies to any statement made in the process of securing judicial office, such as statements to commissions charged with judicial selection and tenure and legislative bodies confirming appointment. See also Rule 8.2(b) of the Kansas Rules of Professional Conduct (KRPC 8.2[b] [2008 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 584]).

- (e) may respond to personal attacks or attacks on the candidate's record as long as the response does not violate Section 5A(3)(d).

B. Candidates Seeking Appointment to Judicial or Other Governmental Office.

- (1) A candidate* for appointment to judicial office or a judge seeking other governmental office shall not solicit or accept funds, personally or through a committee or otherwise, to support his or her candidacy.
- (2) A candidate* for appointment to judicial office or a judge seeking other governmental office shall not engage in any political activity to secure the appointment except that:
 - (a) such persons may:

- (i) communicate with the appointing authority, including any selection or nominating commission or other agency designated to screen candidates;
 - (ii) seek support or endorsement for the appointment from organizations that regularly make recommendations for reappointment or appointment to the office, and from individuals to the extent requested or required by those specified in Section 5B(2)(a); and
 - (iii) provide to those specified in Sections 5B(2)(a)(i) and 5B(2)(a)(ii) information as to his or her qualifications for the office;
- (b) a nonjudge candidate* for appointment to judicial office may, in addition, unless otherwise prohibited by law*:
- (i) retain an office in a political organization,*
 - (ii) attend political gatherings, and
 - (iii) continue to pay ordinary assessments and ordinary contributions to a political organization or candidate and purchase tickets for political party dinners or other functions.

Commentary:

Section 5B(2) provides a limited exception to the restrictions imposed by Sections 5A(1) and 5D. Under Section 5B(2), candidates seeking reappointment to the same judicial office or appointment to another judicial office or other governmental office may apply for the appointment and seek appropriate support.

Although under Section 5B(2) nonjudge candidates seeking appointment to judicial office are permitted during candidacy to retain office in a political organization, attend political gatherings and pay ordinary dues and assessments, they remain subject to other provisions of this Code during candidacy. See Sections 5B(1), 5B(2)(a), 5E and Application Section.

C. Judges and Candidates Subject to Public Election.

- (1) A judge or a candidate* subject to public election* may, except as prohibited by law*:
- (a) at any time
 - (i) purchase tickets for and attend political gatherings;
 - (ii) identify himself or herself as a member of a political party; and
 - (iii) contribute to a political organization*;
 - (b) when a candidate for election
 - (i) speak to gatherings on his or her own behalf;
 - (ii) appear in newspaper, television and other media advertisements supporting his or her candidacy;
 - (iii) distribute pamphlets and other promotional campaign literature supporting his or her candidacy; and

- (iv) publicly endorse or publicly oppose other candidates for the same judicial office in a public election in which the judge or judicial candidate is running.

Commentary:

Section 5C(1) permits judges subject to election at any time to be involved in limited political activity. Section 5D, applicable solely to incumbent judges, would otherwise bar this activity.

- (2) ~~A candidate* shall not personally solicit or accept campaign contributions or solicit publicly stated support nor shall a candidate serve as his or her own campaign treasurer. A candidate subject to public election* may, however, establish committees of responsible persons to solicit and accept reasonable campaign contributions, to manage the expenditure of funds for the candidate's campaign and to obtain public statements of support for his or her candidacy. Such committees may solicit and accept reasonable campaign contributions and public support from lawyers. A candidate's committees may solicit contributions and public support for the candidate's campaign no earlier than one year before an election and no later than 90 days after the last election in which the candidate participates during the election year. A candidate shall not use or permit the use of campaign contributions for the private benefit of the candidate or others.~~

Commentary:

Section 5C(2) permits a candidate subject to public election to establish campaign committees to solicit and accept public support and reasonable financial contributions. At the start of the campaign, the candidate must instruct his or her campaign committees to solicit or accept only contributions that are reasonable under the circumstances. Though not prohibited, campaign contributions of which a judge has knowledge, made by lawyers or others who appear before the judge, may be relevant to disqualification under Section 3E.

Campaign committees established under Section 5C(2) should manage campaign finances responsibly avoiding deficits that might necessitate post-election fund-raising, to the extent possible.

Section 5C(2) does not prohibit a candidate from initiating an evaluation by a judicial selection commission or bar association, or, subject to the requirements of this Code, from responding to a request for information from any organization.

- (3) Except as prohibited by law,* a candidate* for judicial office in a public election* may permit the candidate's name: (a) to be listed on election materials along with the names of other candidates for elective public office, and (b) to appear in promotions of the ticket.

Commentary:

Section 5C(3) provides a limited exception to the restrictions imposed by Section 5A(1).

- (4) An incumbent judge who is a candidate for retention in office without a competing candidate and whose candidacy has drawn active opposition may campaign in response thereto in the manner provided in Section 5C(1)(b)(i), (ii), and (iii) and may obtain publicly stated support and campaign funds in the manner provided in Section 5C(2). An incumbent judge may, however, establish a committee in a manner and for purposes consistent with Section 5C(2) no earlier than 12 months prior to the election, but funds may not be expended (except for production of campaign materials) nor may statements in support or such materials be disseminated by said committee unless and until such candidate has drawn active opposition. For purposes of K.S.A. 25-4157, a committee formed under this provision shall be deemed terminated 60 days after the election.

D. Incumbent Judges. A judge shall not engage in any political activity except (i) as authorized under any other Section of this Code, (ii) on behalf of measures to improve the law,* the legal system or the administration of justice, or (iii) as expressly authorized by law.

Commentary:

Neither Section 5D nor any other section of the Code prohibits a judge in the exercise of administrative functions from engaging in planning and other official activities with members of the executive and legislative branches of government. With respect to a judge's activity on behalf of measures to improve the law, the legal system and the administration of justice, see Commentary to Section 4B and Section 4C(1) and its Commentary.

E. Applicability. Canon 5 generally applies to all incumbent judges and judicial candidates.* A successful candidate, whether or not an incumbent, is subject to judicial discipline for his or her campaign conduct; an unsuccessful candidate who is a lawyer is subject to lawyer discipline for his or her campaign conduct. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office is subject to Rule 8.2(b) of the Kansas Rules of Professional Conduct (2008 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 584).

[**History:** Am. effective July 15, 1996; Am. 5C(2) effective February 17, 2005; Am. 5A(2) effective September 6, 2005; Am. 5C(4) effective January 30, 2006.]

APPLICATION OF THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

A. Anyone, whether or not a lawyer, who is an officer of the judicial system, is a judge within the meaning of this Code. Judge is defined as: "Any judicial officer who performs the functions of a judge in the courts of this state including Kansas Supreme Court Justices, Court of Appeals

Judges, District Judges, District Magistrate Judges, and Municipal Court Judges. Where applicable, the term “judge” also contemplates Masters, Referees, Temporary Judges, *Pro Tempore* Judges, Part-time Judges, and Commissioners if they perform any functions of a judge in any court of this state.” All judges shall comply with this Code except as provided below.

Commentary:

The four categories of judicial service in other than a full-time capacity are necessarily defined in general terms because of the widely varying forms of judicial service. For the purposes of this Section, as long as a retired judge is subject to recall, the judge is considered to “perform judicial functions.” The determination of which category and, accordingly, which specific Code provisions apply to an individual judicial officer, depend upon the facts of the particular judicial service.

B. Retired Judge. A retired judge who accepts judicial assignments is not required to comply at any time with Sections 4E, 4F, 4G, and 4H.

C. Part-time Judge. A part-time judge:

- (1) is not required to comply:
 - (a) with Section 3B(9), except while serving as a judge;
 - (b) at any time with Sections 4C(2), 4C(3), 4D(1)(b), 4D(3), 4D(4), 4E, 4F, 4G, 5A(1), 5B(2) and 5D.
 - (c) with Sections 4D(5) and 4H, unless the judge derives at least \$15,000 of his or her annual income from the performance of judicial duties.
- (2) shall not practice law of the type which the judge is assigned to hear in the court on which the judge serves and shall not act as a lawyer in a proceeding in which the judge has served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto.

Commentary:

When a person who has been a part-time judge is no longer a part-time judge (no longer accepts appointments), that person may act as a lawyer in a proceeding in which he or she has served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto only with the express consent of all parties pursuant to Rule 1.12(a) of the Kansas Rules of Professional Conduct (KRPC 1.12[a] [2008 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 487]).

D. Pro Tempore Part-time Judge. A pro tempore part-time judge*:

- (1) is not required to comply:
 - (a) except while serving as a judge, with Sections 2A, 2B, 3B(9) and 4C(1);
 - (b) at any time with Sections 4C(2), 4C(3), 4D(1)(b), 4D(3), 4D(4), 4E, 4F, 4G, 5A(1), 5A(2), 5B(2) and 5D.
 - (c) with Sections 4D(5) and 4H, unless the judge derives at least \$15,000 of his or her annual income from the performance of judicial duties.

- (2) shall not appear as a lawyer in the court or specialized division to which the judge is assigned during such service.
- (3) shall not act as a lawyer in a proceeding in which the judge has served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto except as otherwise permitted by Rule 1.12(a) of the Kansas Rules of Professional Conduct (2008 Kan. Ct. R. Annot. 487).

E. Time for Compliance. A person to whom this Code becomes applicable shall comply immediately with all provisions of this Code except Sections 4D(2), 4D(3), and 4E and shall comply with these Sections as soon as reasonably possible and shall do so in any event within the period of one year.

[**History:** New rule adopted effective June 1, 1995; Am. effective July 15, 1996; Am. effective January 1, 2002.]

Commentary:

If serving as a fiduciary when selected as judge, a new judge may, notwithstanding the prohibitions in Section 4E, continue to serve as fiduciary but only for that period of time necessary to avoid serious adverse consequences to the beneficiary of the fiduciary relationship and in no event longer than one year. Similarly, if engaged at the time of judicial selection in a business activity, a new judge may, notwithstanding the prohibitions in Section 4D(3), continue in that activity for a reasonable period but in no event longer than one year.